

Crash Rates Over Time Among Novice and Older Drivers in the SHRP 2 Naturalistic Driving Study

Bruce G. Simons-Morton¹, Pnina Gershon¹, Sheila Klauer², Johnathon Ehsani³, Thomas Dingus²

¹ Division of Intramural Population Health Research, Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development ² VTTI, ³ Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health

Poster presentation at the $7^{\rm th}$ international Symposium on Naturalistic Driving in Blacksburg, VA

Background Crash Rates and 95% Confidence Intervals by Age Findings Research gaps: Age:16-17 Age:18-20 Age:21-25 Age: 36-55 Age: 16-17 y.o. had higher rates over 24 mo.

- Young drivers have high crash rates early in licensure that decline rapidly
- There are few comparisons of young and experienced drivers
- > Few objective, naturalistic studies
- > Age and experience may be important

Purpose and Research Questions

Rate (per 10,000 miles)

Crash

Purpose: Examine variability in crash rates by driver age and sex over a period of 24 months

Research Questions:

- Do crash rates vary by age and if so for how long?
- Do crash rates vary according to sex?
- Does sex moderate the relationship between age and crash rates?

Sample



Crash Rates and Confidence Intervals by Age and Sex

SHRP 2 Sample: 857 total, by age group:
▶ 16-17 y.o. = 254
▶ 18-20 y.o. = 200

- ▶ 18-20 y.0. 200
- ➤ 21-25 y.o. = 200

➤ 35-55 y.o. = 200

Method

Data Acquisition System: GPS, accelerometer, cameras

Crash Measure:

- Severity Levels 1-3
- Rear end
- ➢ Road departure

Analyses: Average crash rates and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) calculated for each 6-month period



- Female - Male

Age: 16-17 y.o. had higher rates over 24 mo. compared to 21-25 and 35-55 y.o. drivers; rates among youngest drivers declined after 12 mo. Sex: Over 24 mo. 16-17 y.o. female drivers had lower rates than young male drivers (OR= 0.75, CI=0.54, 0.95); rates declined after 12 mo. among 16-17 y.o. females, but not males

Discussion

Young age helps explain elevated crash rates A minimum of 12 months needed before rates declined, longer for males

- Longer period of risk for novices than previous research
- Adolescent males take more risks than females, take longer to learn, or become over-confident

Strengths: large national data set; multiple ages compared over time

Limitations: variable duration of participation

Future directions: Model individual variability; determine change point among 16-17 y.o. drivers

Conclusions

The findings suggest the following: (1) more strict Graduated Driver Licensing limits, including extending the provisions to cover older novices; (2) greater parental involvement in teen driving, including parent limits on exposure; (3) feedback on driving behavior to teen drivers and their parents from instruments added to the vehicle.

Acknowledgements

This project (contract HHSN275201200001I) was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.

Author contact: mortonb@nih.gov

