Examination of Factors Determining Fault in Multi-Vehicle Conflicts Using the SHRP2 Data

Raha Hamzeie, Ph.D. Student Peter Savolainen, Ph.D., PE

Fifth International Symposium on Naturalistic Driving Research August 31st, 2016





Overview

- Introduction
- Literature Review
- Data Description
- Statistical Methodology
- Results
- Research Implications







National Motor Vehicle Crash Causation Survey 2005-2007

Literature Review



Higher: Odds of being at fault: Lower:

IOWA STATE

ner: Male drivers Non-vehicle owners Suspended or revoked license Unlicensed drivers

Lower: Older populations Working from home Daily commute less than 15 minutes

Data SHRP 2 Naturalistic Driving Study







3092 drivers

- 3900 vehicle drivers
- 3 years of data
- 1600 crashes
- 2900 near-crashes

Requested Data

1,360 multi-vehicle conflicts 684 unique drivers

- Driver behavior
- Driver demographic
- Driving history
- Driving knowledge
- Risk perception

- Risk taking
- Sleep habits
- Event characteristics
- Trip information
- Vehicle information







Requested Data

Summary Statistics

	Total Sample	Unique Drivers
Variable	Mean	Mean
At-Fault Subject Driver (Yes/No)	0.56	-
Full Time Worker (Yes/No)	0.46	0.45
Unemployed (Yes/No)	0.08	0.08
No Children at Home (Yes/No)	0.74	0.73
Driver Feels Fatigued Nearly Every day (Yes/No)	0.16	0.15
Female (Yes/No)	0.48	0.51
Latino / Hispanic (Yes/No)	0.09	0.07
Education Beyond High School (Yes/No)	0.91	0.90
Two Parent Household (Yes/No)	0.59	0.62
Driver Rental Status is Owned (Yes/No)	0.74	0.75
Income 50,000+ (Yes/No)	0.63	0.63
No Traffic Violations in Past 3 Years (Yes/No)	0.58	0.55
No Crashes in Past 3 Years (Yes/No)	0.64	0.67



IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Requested Data Risk Perception Survey Results

Acceleration at onset of yellow Road rage Not using turn signals Bad weather Aggressive driving Engaging in a secondary task Being in a hurry Driving with worn tires Tailgating Checking rear-view mirror Running stop signs Fatigued driving Racing Thrill-seeking

IOWA STATE

Red light running



1-No Greater Risk 2 3 4 5 6 7-Much Greater Risk

Statistical Methodology



- Binary logistic regression model:
 - Binary variable=1 if the subject driver is at fault,
 - Binary variable=0 otherwise

$$log\left(\frac{p_i}{1-p_i}\right) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \dots + \beta_k x_{ki}$$

Mixed effect binary logistic regression model

$$p_{i} = \int \frac{EXP(\beta x_{i} + \varepsilon_{i})}{1 + EXP(\beta x_{i} + \varepsilon_{i})} f(\beta | \varphi) d\beta$$

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Results Logistic Regression Model



_	Pooled (Naïve) Model			Random Effect Model		
Parameter	Coeff.	Std. Error	p-value	Coeff.	Std. Error	p-value
Intercept	0.585	0.117	.000	0.632	0.134	0.000
Full Time Worker	-0.257	0.111	.021	-0.325	0.130	0.012
Driver perceives tailgating as high risk	-0.490	0.119	.000	-0.517	0.137	0.000
Driver perceives acceleration at onset of yellow as low risk	0.773	0.342	.024	0.844	0.338	0.012
Driver feels fatigued nearly everyday	0.269	0.154	.080	0.307	0.185	0.098
No crashes in past 3 years	-0.198	0.117	.090	-0.208	0.134	0.119
Log Likelihood at Convergence Restricted Log Likelihood	-912.30 -932.02	5 7		-909.708	8	

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY

Results Odds Ratios



Parameter	Pooled (Naïve) Model	Random Effect Model
Intercept	N/A	N/A
Full Time Worker	0.77	0.72
Driver perceives tailgating as high risk	0.61	0.60
Driver perceives acceleration at onset of yellow as low risk	2.17	2.32
Driver feels fatigued nearly everyday	1.31	1.36
No crashes in past 3 years	0.82	0.81



Research Implications



- Pricing strategy for insurance companies
- Set countermeasures
- Improve public education
- Develop programs





Thank You!

rhamzeie@iastate.edu

pts@iastate.edu



IOWA STATE

UNIVERSITY